TABLE OF CONTENTS

11	WILDL	IFE	11-1
	11.1	Introduction	11-1
	11.2	Study Area	11-1
		11.2.1 Local Study Area	11-1
		11.2.2 Regional Study Area	11-1
	11.3	Issues and Assessment Criteria	11-4
		11.3.1 Selection of Wildlife Species for Study	11-4
	11.4	Methods	11-5
		11.4.1 Assessment Approach	11-5
		11.4.2 Existing Wildlife Information	11-6
		11.4.3 Field Surveys	11-7
		11.4.4 Modelling Methods1	1-11
		11.4.5 Quality Assurance and Quality Control1	1-11
	11.5	Existing Conditions1	1-19
		11.5.1 Existing Wildlife Information1	1-19
		11.5.2 Field Survey Results	1-28
		11.5.3 Baseline Habitat Availability1	1-39
	11.6	Impact Assessment and Mitigative Measures1	1-61
		11.6.1 Sensory Disturbance of Wildlife1	1-61
		11.6.2 Loss and/or Alteration of Wildlife Habitat1	1-65
		11.6.3 Wildlife Mortality 1	1-67
		11.6.4 Effects of Air Emissions on Wildlife Health1	1-67
	11.7	Cumulative Effects Assessment1	1-68
		11.7.1 Sensory Disturbance of Wildlife1	1-68
		11.7.2 Loss and/or Alteration of Wildlife Habitat1	1-68
		11.7.3 Wildlife Mortality1	1-70
		11.7.4 Effects of Air Emissions on Wildlife Health1	1-70
	11.8	Follow-up and Monitoring1	1-72
	11.9	Summary1	1-72
	11.10	Literature Cited1	1-72
		11.10.1 Personal Communications1	1-76

TABLES

Table 11.5-1	Potential Wildlife Species in the RSA	.11-19
Table 11.5-2	Wildlife Species of Concern Potentially Associated with Habitats in the Local	
	Study Area (Taxonomic Order)	.11-25
Table 11.5-3	Winter Track- Frequencies (tracks/km/day) within the Upgrader Site in December	
	2006'	.11-29
Table 11.5-4	Comparison of Habitat Associations between the LSA and the Northwest of	
	Bruderheim Natural Area	.11-31
Table 11.5-5	Nocturnal Owls Detected During Surveys Conducted in the Study Area, March -	
	April 2007	.11-32
Table 11.5-6	Songbird Species Recorded in the LSA and RSA	.11-35
Table 11.5-7	Most Common Songbird Species Recorded in the LSA and RSA	.11-35
Table 11.5-8	Narrow-niche Songbird Species and Their Associated Habitat Type (excluding	
	waterbirds)	.11-36
Table 11.5-9	Results of the Fixed-Radius Breeding Bird Point Counts in the LSA and RSA	.11-37
Table 11.5-10	Songbird Densities by Habitat Type within the LSA and RSA	.11-38

Table 11.5-11 Table 11.5-12	Bird Species Diversity (BSD) by Habitat Type in the Study Area Waterbird Species Observed within the LSA and RSA	11-38 11-39
Table 11.5-13	Habitat Suitability and Availability at Baseline and with Project Development in	
	the LSA and RSA	11-40
Table 11.6-1	Summary of Potential Effects of Sensory Disturbance on Key Species	11-62
Table 11.6-2	Illuminance Levels of Selected Sources	11-64
Table 11.6-3	Habitat Suitability and Availability for Baseline and Application Cases in the LSA	11-66
Table 11.7-1	Existing and Planned Operations Contributing to Cumulative Effects on Wildlife	11-68
Table 11.7-2	Project Contribution to Regional Cumulative Effects on Habitat Availability	11-70

FIGURES

Figure 11.2-1	Wildlife Local Study Area
Figure 11.2-2	Wildlife Regional Study Area
Figure 11.4-1	Snow Tracking Transects in the Local Study Area
Figure 11.4-2	Snow Tracking Transects in the Regional Study Area
Figure 11.4-3	Owl Survey Stations, Detection Sites, and Owl Territories in the Regional Study
-	Area
Figure 11.4-4	Amphibian Survey Points in the Local Study Area
Figure 11.4-5	Amphibian Survey Points in the Regional Study Area11-16
Figure 11.4-6	Songbird Survey Points in the Local Study Area
Figure 11.4-7	Songbird Survey Points in the Regional Study Area11-18
Figure 11.5-1	Landscape – Scale Wildlife Habitat Connectivity
Figure 11.5-2	Relative Abundance and Activity of Amphibians11-46
Figure 11.5-3	Amphibian Abundance by Habitat11-46
Figure 11.5-4	Availability of Deer Habitat within the Local Study Area
Figure 11.5-5	Availability of Deer Habitat within the Regional Study Area11-48
Figure 11.5-6	Availability of Moose Habitat within the Local Study Area11-49
Figure 11.5-7	Availability of Moose Habitat within the Regional Study Area11-50
Figure 11.5-8	Availability of Coyote Habitat within the Local Study Area11-51
Figure 11.5-9	Availability of Coyote Habitat within the Regional Study Area11-52
Figure 11.5-10	Availability of Long-tailed Weasel Habitat within the Local Study Area11-53
Figure 11.5-11	Availability of Long-tailed Weasel Habitat within the Regional Study Area11-54
Figure 11.5-12	Availability of Great Horned Owl Breeding Habitat within the Local Study Area11-55
Figure 11.5-13	Availability of Great Horned Owl Habitat within the Regional Study Area11-56
Figure 11.5-14	Availability of Songbird Habitat within the Local Study Area11-57
Figure 11.5-15	Availability of Songbird Habitat within the Regional Study Area11-58
Figure 11.5-16	Availability of Amphibian Breeding Habitat within the Local Study Area11-59
Figure 11.5-17	Availability of Amphibian Breeding Habitat within the Regional Study Area11-60
Figure 11.7-1	Existing Disturbances and Planned Operations Contributing to Cumulative
	Effects on Wildlife11-71

APPENDICES

Appendix 11A Effects Assessment Summary of Potential Project Effects on Key Species

11 WILDLIFE

11.1 Introduction

The objective of the wildlife component of the environmental assessment was to provide North American with the necessary wildlife information for its application for a bitumen upgrader in Strathcona County, Alberta. In accordance with Section 4.9 of Alberta Environment's Final Terms of Reference (TOR) (Volume 1, Appendix A), existing wildlife information was reviewed, and baseline wildlife surveys (amphibians, reptiles, birds and mammals) were conducted within representative habitats as part of the wildlife assessment. The following report contains detailed information obtained from the information review, the baseline surveys and an assessment of the Upgrader development on local and regional wildlife.

11.2 Study Area

The North American Upgrader Project (the Project) is located in the Central Parkland Natural subregion of the Parkland Natural Region of Alberta (AEP, 1994). The Upgrader, which is situated near the transition zone between the Parkland Natural Region and the Boreal Forest Natural Region to the north, is located within the boundaries of Alberta's Industrial Heartland Area (AIH) in the northwestern portion of Strathcona County (AIH, 2002). This highly developed heavy industrial region is characterized by a landscape dominated by agriculture, and as a result, any remaining wildlife habitats are highly fragmented. Local and regional study areas were delineated to focus the scope of the wildlife assessment.

11.2.1 Local Study Area

The wildlife Local Study Area (LSA) includes the area within North American's property boundaries, which is approximately 562 ha. The Project footprint is approximately 485 ha of land that would be temporarily or permanently altered by activities related to the construction and operation of the Project (Figure 11.2-1).

The LSA is within portions of Sections 26, 27, 35 and 36 Township 55 Range 21 W4M, and the SE¼ Section 2, Township 56, Range 21, W4M.

11.2.2 Regional Study Area

A larger wildlife Regional Study Area (RSA) (Figure 11.2-2) was used to evaluate Project effects within a regional context. The size and placement of the RSA around the Project were chosen based on the inclusion of wildlife habitat types that compared and/or contrasted with what was found in the LSA, and provide the means to evaluate regional wildlife habitat availability. The RSA was also used to evaluate regional and cumulative effects of the Project such as air emissions, sensory disturbance and plant lighting. The RSA occupies an area of 23,288 ha, and includes the entire LSA.

The RSA is defined by the following Legal Land Description: Sections 1 to 4, 9 to 16, and 21 to 28 Township 56 Range 21 W4M; Sections 1 to 4, 9 to 16, 21 to 28, and 33 to 36 Township 55 Range 21 W4M; Sections 3 to 10, 15 to 22, and 27 to 30 Township 56 Range 20 W4M; Sections 3 to 10, 15 to 22, and 27 to 34 Township 55 Range 20 W4M.





1_514\MAPS\FIGURES\011_WILDLIFE\FIGURE_11.2-2_WILDLIFE_RSA.mxd

11.3 Issues and Assessment Criteria

Key Project-related issues or concerns for wildlife were identified through a screening process based on previous Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) experience, Project-specific issues and consultation with provincial and federal agencies and stakeholders. Based on these criteria and the final TOR, the assessment was completed to address the following issues:

- Document and describe those species found within the study areas that are listed by the Alberta *Wildlife Act*, the General Status of Alberta Wild Species (ASRD, 2005) and in the federal *Species at Risk Act* (SARA) (2002).
- Describe and assess potential effects of the Project on wildlife both at a local and a regional scale, including:
 - The loss and/or alteration of wildlife habitat;
 - Wildlife mortality;
 - Sensory disturbance of wildlife; and
 - Effects of air emissions on wildlife health.
- Describe proposed strategies to minimize and/or mitigate Project effects on wildlife species and their habitats that are within the study areas.
- Identify and discuss proposed monitoring programs that will be implemented during various phases of the Project to evaluate the effectiveness of mitigation strategies to reduce impacts on wildlife species and their habitats.
- Identify and discuss wildlife studies in the study areas and the ways in which North American plans to adapt its operational and mitigation plans to incorporate the results of those studies.

11.3.1 Selection of Wildlife Species for Study

The key species were selected based on their ecological, scientific, cultural, socio-economic or aesthetic importance. There are over one hundred wildlife species that occur in the general area surrounding the Project. Since it is not feasible to evaluate impacts on all wildlife species that occur in the area, a smaller group of representative wildlife indicators was selected, based on the following criteria:

- Umbrella Species those species that represent a suite of species inhabiting common habitats;
- Indicator Species species or a community of species that would indicate environmental change;
- Species of Social and Economic Importance (game species); and/or
- Species of Concern as listed by federal or provincial wildlife agencies.

The selected wildlife species chosen for this assessment and the selection rationale for each species are provided below:

Mammals:

- White-tailed deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*) Common in the study area and an important game species.
- Moose (Alces alces) Present in the study region and an important game species.
- Coyote (*Canis latrans*) Common in the study area and the largest mammalian predator in the region.

Birds of Prey:

• Great horned owl (*Bubo virginianus*) - Though a common bird of prey, it has limited habitat in the region and may be susceptible to habitat loss.

Songbirds:

• Songbirds are used as indicators of environmental change because of their sensitivity to habitat loss, and the ease of population surveying and detecting population change.

Amphibians:

• Amphibians are considered to be early indicators of environmental change. In Alberta, common amphibian species such as wood and boreal chorus frogs breed in a wide variety of aquatic habitats.

Species of Concern:

 The Project is in a region that falls within the breeding distribution of a number of wildlife species classified as At Risk, Endangered, Threatened or Of Concern by federal and provincial wildlife agencies. Species Of Concern that were selected for study included those federally listed or provincially classified as May Be at Risk. Species provincially classified as Sensitive are included in the general groups of indicators, such as songbirds and amphibians.

11.4 Methods

A combination of existing information reviews, field inventories and wildlife habitat modelling were used to estimate the baseline or existing conditions for wildlife in the study areas. The methods used in each of these approaches are provided in the following sections.

11.4.1 Assessment Approach

The effects of the Upgrader on wildlife were assessed by reviewing existing regional wildlife information and on field surveys conducted for the Project. The assessment is focused on the effects of the application case relative to the baseline case through the use of effects criteria. The effects are assessed with reference to designated key species or communities. The significance of Project effects were assessed using the following seven criteria:

• **Direction** describes if there is a net benefit, net loss or no change to wildlife as result of the Project development. The direction is classified as either a positive, neutral or negative effect.

- **Extent** describes the area within which wildlife is affected. The effects of the Project are classified as local if the effects on wildlife populations are site-specific; regional if wildlife beyond the LSA are affected; or beyond regional if the effects extend beyond the RSA.
- **Magnitude** describes the severity of the effect. Magnitude is classified as negligible (no discernible effect on wildlife population persistence), low (a measurable effect that will not lead to detectable changes in wildlife populations), medium (a measurable effect whereby the population will decline to a lower but stable size that is still likely viable) or high (a measurable effect that will lead to the exclusion of some wildlife populations from the study area).
- **Duration** describes how long the effect will occur. Duration is classified as short-term, medium-term or long-term. A short-term effect persists for the construction period only or less than one year following construction. A medium-term effect persists for construction and operation phase, but not beyond the life of the project. A long-term effect persists beyond decommissioning.
- **Frequency of occurrence** describes how often an effect occurs within a set time period. It is classified as isolated (occurs at a specific time), occasional (intermittent and sporadic), regular (occurs recurrently during the construction and operation phases) or continuous (occurs continually during the construction and operation phases).
- **Permanence** describes the potential for the recovery or reversibility of an effect. Permanence is classified as effects that are reversible in the short-term (within one year), reversible in the medium-term (one to ten years), reversible in the long-term (greater than ten years) or irreversible (permanent).
- **Prediction confidence** describes the certainty of the effect assessment, and considers data quality, rigour of the assessment/measurement approach and/or the certainty of prescribed mitigation measures. Prediction confidence is classified as low (poor understanding of cause-effect relationships and poor-quality data), medium (good understanding of cause-effect relationships and low-quality data, or high-quality data but poor understanding of cause-effect relationships) or high (good understanding of cause-effect relationships) or high (good understanding of cause-effect relationships) or high (good understanding of cause-effect relationships).

11.4.2 Existing Wildlife Information

Multiple databases were queried for potential occurrence of various wildlife species and sensitive habitat in the region of the Upgrader. Alberta's Fisheries and Wildlife Management Information System (FWMIS) and the Alberta Natural Heritage Information Centre database (ANHIC) were used to determine the potential occurrence of rare or sensitive wildlife species. Avian species are expected to be the most abundant wildlife group in the region. A list of potential breeding birds for the region was compiled from the following sources:

- The Federation of Alberta Naturalists' breeding bird observation database;
- North American Breeding Bird Surveys (USGS-CWS 2006); and
- Previously conducted EIAs in the region (Western Research and Development, 1980; Stantec, 2003; BA Energy, 2004; Shell, 2005).

An inventory of sensitive habitat in the region (Infotech, 1989) was consulted to determine if the site was situated in, or encroached upon, areas considered to contain sensitive habitat.

11.4.3 Field Surveys

11.4.3.1 Winter Track Count Survey

Systematic winter track count surveys were used to determine the winter distribution and habitat associations of medium- to large-sized mammals. The abundance of tracks of each species also provided an indication of which species were relatively more abundant in the LSA and RSA. Although winter track surveys cannot be used to estimate wildlife densities reliably, they can provide an indication of wildlife distribution and relative abundance. An early-winter survey was conducted on December 8 and 9, 2006, following a snowfall on December 6, 2006. A late-winter survey was proposed for February 2007; however, poor snow and weather conditions prevented completion of the second survey.

Within the LSA, 32 transects, ranging from 100 m to 1,000 m in length, were established in representative habitat types (Figure 11.4-1) for a total surveyed length of 17.5 km. Although attempts were made to locate transects in homogeneous habitat types, any changes in habitat that occurred along the length of transects were noted. The habitats sampled included open agricultural land and pasture, poplar (*Populus* spp.) woodlands, spruce-dominated woodlands, Class III and IV wetland edges (Stewart and Kantrud, 1971), vegetated agriculture edge (e.g., windrows) and willow (*Salix* spp.) shrublands.

In addition to the surveys within the LSA, two snow track surveys were conducted in the RSA. The first snow track survey was conducted in the relatively undisturbed habitat of the Northwest of Bruderheim Natural Area. The second regional snow track survey was a 17.6 km roadside survey conducted on Township Road 552, 4 km south of the LSA (Figure 11.4-2). The composition and relative abundance of species in the Bruderheim Natural Area provided a contrast to the survey results from the highly disturbed and fragmented LSA. The LSA is located between the pine-covered sand dune region to the northwest, and forested fragments associated with the Cooking Lake Moraine region to the southeast. While a single survey can provide limited conclusions about seasonal movements, the regional roadside transect survey was used to determine if and where large-scale wildlife movement corridors were located relative to the LSA and to the more forested regions to the north and south.

Wildlife tracks were identified to species or generic groups. Habitat types were noted and, where possible, the number of sets of tracks was recorded. In cases of well-used trails or runs, the number of sets of tracks was estimated. GPS waypoints were recorded to geo-reference the dataset to the landscape.

The survey was conducted over a two-day period approximately two or three days after snowfall. Moreover, the amount of effort for each survey differed due to varying transect lengths. To account for track accumulation and effort, the data were converted to a standardized measure as follows:

Number of tracks recorded on a transect

Relative Track Density =

Transect length (m) X Days since snowfall

11.4.3.2 Nocturnal Owl Surveys

Due to the highly fragmented forested habitat that exists within and surrounding the Project area, the availability of nesting habitat for owls is limited. However, the remaining habitat was predicted to be important to owl species such as great horned owls, commonly found in the area. Other owl species that have breeding ranges which include the RSA include the northern saw-whet owl (*Aegolius acadicus*), long-eared owl (*Asio otus*), short-eared owl (*Asio flammeus*), great gray owl (*Strix nebulosa*), barred owl (*Strix varia*) and northern hawk-owl (*Surnia ulula*) (Semechuck 1992). Northern pygmy owls (*Glaucidium gnoma*) and boreal owls (*Aegolius funereus*) are more commonly found in more spruce-dominated forests to the north and west of the RSA, but could potentially breed in the region. Of all the potential breeding species of owls, only the short-eared owl is classified under the federal *Species at Risk Act* as a Schedule 3 Species of Special Concern (SARA, 2002).

Nocturnal call playback surveys were used to determine the presence, distribution and relative abundance of owls in the study areas. Since owls can be drawn in from hundreds of metres away from their nesting area with call playback, only coarse-scale habitat associations can be assumed. Most owl species in this region of Alberta respond to recorded conspecific calls broadcast at night, as well as to the calls of other species. However, northern hawk owls and short-eared owls are diurnal and do not respond to nocturnal call playback. The potential occurrence of these species was recorded during daylight field surveys such as winter track surveys or spring-breeding bird surveys.

The survey protocol used was a modified version of the Alberta Nocturnal Owl Surveys as described in the Guidelines for Nocturnal Owl Monitoring in North America (Takats et al., 2001). The method is a highly efficient road-based survey that is initiated 30 minutes after sunset. Because some owl calls can be heard over a distance of 1 km, the broadcast stations were spaced at least at 1.6 km intervals. Since the RSA is in the Parkland Natural Region of Alberta but borders on the Boreal Forest Natural Region, the calls of both parkland and boreal owls were used during the surveys.

Digital recordings of owl calls spaced by listening periods were copied to a recording that was played on a portable stereo system. The call playback recording started with a two-minute silent listening period for detection of spontaneous calling. A series of six owl calls followed the initial silent period.

Each owl call lasted for 20 seconds and was followed by one minute of silent listening time. The species used for the playback recording were boreal owl, northern saw-whet owl, great gray owl, long-eared owl, barred owl and great horned owl. Because of the low likelihood of pygmy owls in the area, their call was not included during the broadcast survey. Northern pygmy owls can be detected either by spontaneous calls or in response to calls of other species (Piorecky and Prescott, 2004).

A series of 14 broadcast stations were chosen based on habitat availability. The LSA was covered by four stations, while five stations were set in the conifer forest region to the north of the LSA, and another five stations were set in a more agricultural setting (Figure 11.4-3) in the RSA. The stations outside of the Project area were located to provide a regional context to the survey results from the Project area. The conifer forest stations were used to compare owl densities in a relatively more natural setting with owl densities in the fragmented area of the Project site. The agricultural sites provided a comparison between geographic regions containing the same fragmented habitat availability.

Three rounds of owl surveys were conducted over a four-week period in March and April 2007. Each round of surveys began at different locations, and followed a unique order of stations to

control temporal sampling biases. In addition to the owl data, weather and incidental wildlife observation data were also recorded.

11.4.3.3 Amphibian Call Surveys

The presence and distribution of amphibians within the study areas were identified by auditory surveys. Most species of amphibians in this region of Alberta emit calls during the spring breeding season. The call surveys were conducted to determine the potential occurrence of sensitive species such as the northern leopard frog (*Rana pipiens*), western toad (*Bufo boreas*) and Canadian toad (*Bufo hemiophrys*) in the vicinity of the Project. Other common species that were expected in the region included wood frogs (*Rana sylvatica*) and boreal chorus frogs (*Pseudacris maculate*); neither of these species is listed by the Province of Alberta.

The prime vocalization period of various species can occur at different times during the springsummer period (USGS, 2005). The USGS North American Amphibian Monitoring Program suggests 3 sampling periods to ensure that all species can be detected (USGS, 2005). Potential amphibian species that could occur in the RSA can call from the spring thaw in April and through the summer. Wood frogs will start calling as soon as the winter ice begins to melt, while Canadian toads can begin calling as late as early June (Hamilton et al., 1998, ASRD and ACA, 2001).

Amphibian species in the Parkland Natural Region of Alberta are crepuscular to nocturnal; therefore, surveys in the study areas were conducted during the prime vocalization period, from late evening to 0100 hours (Black and Brunson, 1971; Johnson and Batie, 1996; Hamilton et al., 1998; ASRD and ACA, 2001; Kendell, 2002; USGS, 2005). The surveys were conducted on April 25, May 9 and May 23, 2007. The date of the final survey was chosen to overlap with the early breeding season of yellow rails (Bazin and Baldwin, 2007). The nocturnal technique used to record amphibians allowed for the additional detection of calling yellow rails.

Based on the distribution of suitable habitat, 12 amphibian survey station locations were selected within the LSA (Figure 11.4-4). The RSA, which provided a regional context of amphibian presence, was sampled with an additional 18 stations (Figure 11.4-5). A five-minute listening period was used at each station. The relative abundance of each species was assessed based on four categories or ranks, as follows:

- Rank 0 no amphibians heard calling;
- Rank 1 individuals could be counted without overlapping calls;
- Rank 2 numerous individuals were heard calling, with some calls overlapping; and
- Rank 3 a large group of frogs or toads were present and calling in a chorus.

The wetland class and description, wind speed, temperature, level of ambient noise, amount of traffic, percentage of cloud cover and moon phase were also recorded.

11.4.3.4 Breeding Bird Surveys

Breeding bird surveys were conducted from early to mid-June 2007. Surveys followed standardized inventory methods in which birds are recorded by sight and sound from a stationary observation point (Bibby et al., 2000). Point counts with unlimited radius detection distances were used to compile overall breeding bird inventories for the study areas. A subset of bird data detected within a 50 m radius was used to examine population parameters and conduct habitat-

related analyses. Survey stations were placed at least 300 m apart, and included a 100 m buffer from the edge of the habitat type being sampled.

Point counts were conducted between 05:30 hours and 10:00 hours. Surveys were initiated following a one-minute settling period. At each survey point, both acoustic and visual records of birds were recorded during a five-minute period. While a longer survey time period may allow for the detection of one to three more species (Smith et al., 1998), the significant proportion of the species composition is detected within the first few minutes of a point count (Lynch, 1995; Shiu and Lee, 2003). A shorter survey time is more efficient, while a longer count period may introduce a density bias associated with birds moving into and out of the survey area (Granholm, 1983).

Singing male birds (considered territorial) and foraging females were considered representative of a breeding pair. Incidental bird observations were also recorded, and included birds observed or heard outside the 50 m point-count radius, birds flying through survey stations and birds observed during travel to another survey station. Incidental observations were used to compile a complete inventory of bird species. However, since observer bias and bird detectability rates become more variable beyond 50 m, only birds noted within the 50 m radius were used in habitat-related examinations, in analyses of species richness and diversity and determination of bird densities. Point counts were not conducted during adverse weather conditions (e.g., heavy rain, high winds), as these factors can affect both bird activity and the ability of the observer to detect birds (Bibby et. al., 2000).

Survey stations were selected prior to commencing field work using geo-referenced air photo maps of the study area to identify specific habitats for sampling (Figures 11.4-6 and 11.4-7). Twenty-four point-count stations were established in the Project study area, with the location of the stations split evenly between the LSA and RSA.

Within the LSA, survey locations were chosen based on the availability of habitat types: *Populus spp.* woodlands, conifer-dominated woodlands, *Salix* spp. shrubland riparian/wetlands, habitation and cropland. RSA survey locations were chosen to provide regional context to the Project site. Half of the RSA survey stations were placed in the relatively more continuous forest cover found in the Northwest of Bruderheim Natural Area to the north of the Project site. These stations were to provide a contrast to the more fragmented, agriculturally dominated land cover of the Project site. The remaining six RSA survey locations were placed south of the Project site in a region with a land cover similar to that of the Project site.

The locations of any active raptor nests were recorded during the breeding bird surveys. In addition, any other wildlife sightings (e.g., amphibians and mammals) and wildlife signs (e.g., tracks and scat) were also recorded.

<u>Analyses</u>

The 50 m fixed-radius point-count data was used to calculate bird species richness, species density and bird species diversity (BSD), as discussed below.

Species richness was calculated by determining the maximum number of species detected in each habitat type in the Project area. Species lists were a compilation of observations from three visits to multiple stations within each habitat type.

Prior to density and diversity calculations, the maximum number of individuals detected for each species was selected between the three visits to each station. Bird densities were determined by dividing the maximum number of individuals detected at each station by the 50 m point-count survey area (0.785 ha). Density values for each habitat type were calculated by dividing the

combined number of birds seen at each station within each of the habitat types by the total area of each habitat type surveyed.

The Bird Species Diversity (BSD) was measured for each habitat type through the use of the Shannon-Wiener (1949) Index (Shannon and Weaver, 1949):

$$SN$$

$$BSD = - \Sigma p_i ln(p_i)$$

i = 1

where p is the relative abundance of each species relative to the number of birds of all species (N). The Shannon-Wiener Index takes into account the number of species within a habitat, as well as the relative abundance of each species in that same habitat. Therefore, relatively rare species receive a lower weight than species regularly observed. A high BSD value represents a habitat type with numerous individuals of multiple species. A low BSD value represents habitats with low densities of few species.

Comparisons of species richness and bird densities between multiple-habitat types were conducted through non-parametric Krusall-Wallis tests (Zar, 1984). Mann-Whitney U tests were used for direct comparisons between two habitat types. Based on the metrics derived from the bird survey data for both the LSA and RSA, the suitability of the habitat within the LSA was rated, and the relative abundance of each habitat type at baseline was determined.

11.4.4 Modelling Methods

To assess the availability of habitat, a habitat model was employed. Based on the metrics derived from survey data, and knowledge of species habitat requirements, the vegetation land units within the LSA and RSA were rated for habitat quality among five species or species groups. Mapped polygons of vegetation land units were rated between 0 (nil) and 3 (high) with reference to habitat suitability for each of the five species or groups. All ratings were based on the assumptions that individuals of each species or species group would be found within each habitat type (as rated above) in similar abundances. It was also assumed that habitat conditions outside of the LSA are independent of habitat suitability within the LSA. Habitat suitability was modelled for the following:

- white-tailed deer winter habitat;
- amphibians spring habitat;
- great horned owl breeding habitat;
- songbird breeding habitat; and
- Species of Concern: long-tailed weasel (*Mustela frenata*) year-round habitat.

11.4.5 Quality Assurance and Quality Control

Each survey type used to determine baseline conditions in the study areas is a universal, standard field survey (e.g., Bibby et al., 2000; Takats et al., 2001; USGS, 2005, Internet site). All personnel conducting the surveys were experienced wildlife biologists. All data collected were checked for accuracy during data entry. Data analysis methodologies and results were checked and verified during the senior technical review, as were conclusions drawn from the analyses.



TRACKING_TRANSECT SNOW IGURE_



\6198_514\MAPS\FIGURES\011_WILDLIFE\FIGURE_11.4-2_SNOW_TRACKING_TRANSECT_RSA.mxd



06198_514MAPS\FIGURES\011_WILDLIFE\FIGURE_11.4-03_OWL_SURVEY_STATIONS_OWL_DETECTION_POINTS.mxd



SURVEY_



38_514MMAPS\FIGURES\011_WILDLIFE\FIGURE_11.4-05_AMPHIBIAN_SURVEY_POINTS_RSA.mxd



Image: Stream - Permanent Image: Stream - Permanent Image: Stream - Permanent Image: Stream - Permanent Image: Project Footprint Image: Stream - Permanent Image: Stream - Permanent Image: Stream - Permanent Image: Project Footprint Image: Stream - Permanent Image: Stream - Permanent Image: Stream - Permanent Image: Project Footprint Image: Stream - Intermittent Image: Stream - Intermittent Image: Stream - Permanent Image: Project Footprint Image: Stream - Intermittent Image: Stream - Intermittent Image: Stream - Intermittent Image: Project Footprint Image: Stream - Intermittent Image: Stream - Intermittent Image: Stream - Intermittent Image: Project Footprint Image: Stream - Intermittent Image: Stream - Intermittent Image: Stream - Permanent Image: Project Footprint Image: Stream - Intermittent Image: Stream - Intermittent Image: Stream - Intermittent Image: Project Footprint Image: Stream - Intermittent Image: Stream - Permanent Image: Stream - Permanent Image: Stream - Permanent Image: Project Footprint Image: Stream - Permanent Image: Stream - Permanent Image: Stream - Permanent Image: Stream - Permanent Image: Stream - Permanent Image: Stream - Permanent <th>Title: SONGBIRD SURVEY POINTS IN THE LOCAL STUDY AREA</th> <th>Approved: Revision Date: BE Nov.18, 2007 File: rGURE 11.4.06_SONGBIRD_SURV_PTS_LSA _TABLOID.mxd Drawn by: Checked: Fig. No.: LZ JD 11.4-6</th>	Title: SONGBIRD SURVEY POINTS IN THE LOCAL STUDY AREA	Approved: Revision Date: BE Nov.18, 2007 File: rGURE 11.4.06_SONGBIRD_SURV_PTS_LSA _TABLOID.mxd Drawn by: Checked: Fig. No.: LZ JD 11.4-6

1:6198_514MAPS/FIGURES/011_WILDLIFE/FIGURE_114-06_SONGBIRD_SURV_PTS_LSA_TABLOID.1



:\6198_514M\APS\FIGURES\011_WILDLIFE\FIGURE_11.4-07_SONGBIRD_SURV_PTS_RSA.mxd

11.5 Existing Conditions

11.5.1 Existing Wildlife Information

11.5.1.1 Existing Wildlife Data

Breeding bird databases and observations listed in EIAs previously conducted in the region indicate that 150 bird species could potentially breed and/or winter on or around the LSA (Table 11.5-1). Another 46 species of mammals could potentially occur in the vicinity of the Project, based on wildlife distribution maps (Pattie and Hoffman, 1990; Smith, 1993; Shell, 2005) (Table 11.5-1), although habitat for all of these wildlife species is not present within the LSA. Finally, six species of amphibians and reptiles are possible in the study region (Russell and Bauer, 1993) (Table 11.5-1). Canadian toads and northern leopard frogs have been noted as possibly present in the region (Western Research and Development, 1980; BA Energy, 2004, Shell, 2005).

11.5.1.2 Wildlife Species of Special Concern

The LSA falls within the potential breeding and/or wintering ranges of some federally and provincially listed sensitive species. Federally listed Species at Risk that have been documented in the Project study area or have breeding ranges that encompass the Project site include the peregrine falcon, Sprague's pipit, short-eared owl, yellow rail and western toad (SARA, 2002). In addition to the federally listed species, others categorized as sensitive within Alberta are also known to be in the area of the LSA or have breeding ranges that include the Upgrader site (Table 11.5-2).

Wildlife Species Occurring in the Area

While many listed species could potentially be observed in the LSA, based on known distribution, many species may not occur because of the effects of habitat fragmentation and limited habitat availability. Other species also have a low historical frequency of occurrence, and thus are less likely to occur. A desktop habitat evaluation of the LSA indicated that none of the federally listed species were likely to occur. However, the occurrence of some of the provincially listed species, especially those species recently classified as sensitive (ASRD, 2005) yet still numerous, was considered to be more probable.

The Canadian toad is rated as May Be at Risk in Alberta. Prior to its most recent listing in 2002, the Canadian toad was ranked as a red-listed species in Alberta because of a dramatic decline in its parkland distribution (Hamilton et al., 1998). In 2004, a single Canadian toad observation was made during amphibian surveys conducted for the Heartland Upgrader located approximately 3 km northwest of the Property (BA Energy, 2004). The sandy soils and greater availability of marshy floodplains and ponds in Strathcona County provide suitable habitat for the species (Infotech, 1989; Hamilton et al., 1998).

Table 11.5-1 Potential Wildlife Species in the RSA

Species		S	tatus	Detected in RSA during 2007 Surveys
Common Name	Scientific Name	Provincial ¹	Federal ²	
Alder Flycatcher	Empidonax alnorum	Secure		X
American Coot	Fulica americana	Secure		Х

				Detected in RSA during 2007
Species			Status	Surveys
Common Name	Scientific Name	Provincial ¹	Federal ²	
American Crow	Corvus brachyrhynchos	Secure		X
American Goldfinch	Carduelis tristis	Secure		X
American Kestrel	Falco sparverius	Secure		
American Redstart	Setophaga ruticilla	Secure		X
American Robin	Turdus migratorius	Secure		X
American Tree Sparrow	Spizella arborea	Secure		
	Pelecanus	Coodio		
American White Pelican	ervthrorhvnchos	Sensitive		
American Wigeon	Anas Americana	Secure		Х
Bald Eagle	Haliaeetus leucocephalus	Secure		
Baltimore Oriole	Icterus galbula	Sensitive		Х
Barn Swallow	Hirundo rustica	Sensitive		X
Barred Owl	Strix varia	Sensitive		
Belted Kingfisher	Cervle alcyon	Secure		
Black Tern	Chlidonias niger	Sensitive		Х
Black-backed				
Woodpecker	Picoides arcticus	Sensitive		
Black-billed Magpie	Pica hudsonia	Secure		Х
Black-capped Chickadee	Poecile atricapilla	Secure		Х
Blue Jay	Cyanocitta cristata	Secure		
Blue-headed Vireo	Vireo solitarius	Secure		Х
Blue-winged Teal	Anas discors	Secure		Х
Bohemian Waxwing	Bombycilla garrulus	Secure		
Boreal Chickadee	Poecile hudsonica	Secure		
Boreal Owl	Aegolius funereus	Secure		
Brewer's Blackbird	Euphagus cyanocephalus	Secure		Х
Broad-winged Hawk	Buteo platypterus	Sensitive		
Brown Creeper	Certhia americana	Sensitive		
Brown-headed Cowbird	Molothrus ater	Secure		Х
Bufflehead	Bucephala albeola	Secure		
Canada Goose	Branta canadensis	Secure		Х
Canada Warbler	Wilsonia Canadensis	Sensitive		
Cape May Warbler	Dendroica tigrina	Sensitive		
Cedar Waxwing	Bombycilla cedrorum	Secure		Х
Chipping Sparrow	Spizella passerina	Secure		Х
Chukar	Alectoris chukar	Secure		
Clay-colored Sparrow	Spizella pallida	Secure		Х
Common Goldeneye	Bucephala clangula	Secure		
Common Loon	Gavia immer	Secure		
Common Merganser	Mergus merganser	Secure		
Common Nighthawk	Chordeiles minor	Sensitive		
Common Raven	Corvus corax	Secure		Х
Common Redpoll	Carduelis flammea	Secure		
Common Tern	Sterna hirundo	Secure		
Common Yellowthroat	Geothlypis trichas	Sensitive		X
Cooper's Hawk	Accipiter cooperii	Secure		
Dark-eyed Junco	Junco hyemalis	Secure		Х
Downy Woodpecker	Picoides pubescens	Secure		
Eared Grebe	Podiceps nigricollis	Secure		
Eastern Phoebe	Sayornis phoebe	Sensitive		Х
European Starling	Sturnus vulgaris	Secure		Х
Evening Grosbeak	Coccothraustes vespertinus	Secure		

				Detected in RSA during 2007
Species			Status	Surveys
Common Name	Scientific Name	Provincial ¹	Federal ²	Carveyo
Franklin's Gull	Larus pipixcan	Secure	l odordi	
Gadwall	Anas strepera	Secure		
Golden Fagle	Aquila chrysaetos	Secure		
Golden-crowned Kinglet	Regulus satrapa	Secure		
Grav Catbird	Dumetella carolinensis	Secure		
Grav Jav	Perisoreus canadensis	Secure		Х
Gray Partridge	Perdix perdix	Secure		
Great Blue Heron	Ardea herodias	Sensitive		
Great Gray Owl	Strix nebulosa	Sensitive		
Great Horned Owl	Bubo virginianus	Secure		
Green-winged Teal	Anas crecca	Sensitive		X
Gyrfalcon	Falco rusticolus	Secure		
Hairy Woodpecker	Picoides villosus	Secure		
Harris' Sparrow	Zonotrichia querula	Secure		
Hermit Thrush	Catharus guttatus	Secure		Х
Hoary Redpoll	Carduelis hornemanni	Secure		
Horned Grebe	Podiceps auritus	Sensitive		
House Sparrow	Passer domesticus	Secure		Х
House Wren	Troglodytes aedon	Secure		Х
Killdeer	Charadrius vociferus	Secure		Х
Le Conte's Sparrow	Ammodramus leconteii	Secure		
Least Flycatcher	Empidonax minimus	Sensitive		Х
Lesser Scaup	Aythya affinis	Sensitive		
Lincoln's Sparrow	Melospiza lincolnii	Secure		Х
Mallard	Anas platyrhynchos	Secure		Х
Marsh Wren	Cistothorus palustris	Secure		Х
Merlin	Falco columbarius	Secure		
Mountain Bluebird	Sialia currucoides	Secure		Х
Mountain Chickadee	Poecile gambeli	Secure		
Mourning Dove	Zenaida macroura	Secure		
Northern Cardinal	Cardinalis cardinalis	Secure		
Northern Flicker	Colaptes auratus	Secure		Х
Northern Goshawk	Accipiter gentilis	Sensitive		
Northern Harrier	Circus cyaneus	Sensitive		
Northern Hawk Owl	Surnia ulula	Secure		
Northern Pintail	Anas acuta	Sensitive		
Northern Saw-whet Owl	Aegolius acadicus	Secure		X
Northern Shoveler	Anas clypeata	Secure		Х
Northern Shrike	Lanius excubitor	Secure		
Olive-sided Flycatcher	Contopus cooperi	Secure		
Orange-crowned Warbler	Vermivora celata	Secure		X
Ovenbird	Seiurus aurocapillus	Secure		X
Peregrine Falcon	Falco peregrinus	At Risk	Schedule 1)	
Pied-billed Grebe	Podilymbus podiceps	Sensitive		
Pileated Woodpecker	Dryocopus pileatus	Sensitive		
Pine Grosbeak	Pinicola enucletor	Secure		
Pine Siskin	Carduelis pinus	Secure		Х
Prairie Falcon	Falco mexicanus	Secure		
Purple Finch	Carpodacus purpureus	Secure		Х
Purple Martin	Progne subis	Sensitive		
Red Crossbill	Loxia curvirostra	Secure		

Species			Status	Detected in RSA during 2007 Surveys
Common Name	Scientific Name	Provincial ¹	Eederal ²	Ourveys
Red-breasted Nutbatch	Sitta canadansis	Secure	rederal	Y
Red-bleasted Nuthater		Secure		X
Red-eyed vileo	Authuro amoricano	Secure		^
Redified Howk	Ayunya americana Dutoo iomoioonoio	Secure		v
	Buleo jamaicensis	Secure		<u>^</u>
Red-winged Blackbird	Agelaius prioeniceus	Secure		Χ
Ring-necked Pheasant	Phasianus coicnicus	Secure		X
Rock Pigeon		Secure		X
Rose-breasted Grosbeak	Pneucticus Iudovicianus	Secure		X
Rough-legged Hawk	Buteo lagopus	Secure		
Ruby-crowned Kinglet	Regulus calendula	Secure		
Ruddy Duck	Oxyura jamaicensis	Secure		X
Ruffed Grouse	Bonasa umbellus	Secure		
Rusty Blackbird	Euphagus carolinus	Secure		
Savannah Sparrow	Passerculus sandwichensis	Secure		Х
Sharp-shinned Hawk	Accipiter striatus	Secure		
Sharp-tailed Grouse	Tympanuchus phasianellus	Sensitive		
		May Be at	Special Concern	
Short-eared Owl	Asio flammeus	Risk	(SARA Schedule 3)	
Snow Bunting	Plectrophenax nivalis	Secure	<i>L L</i>	
Snowy Owl	Nvctea scandiaca	Secure		
Solitary Sandpiper	Tringa solitaria	Secure		
Song Sparrow	Melospiza melodia	Secure		Х
Sora	Porzana carolina	Sensitive		X
Spraque's Pipit	Anthus spraqueii	Sensitive	Threatened (SARA Schedule 1)	
Spruce Grouse	Falcipennis canadensis	Secure	,	
Swainson's Thrush	Catharus ustulatus	Secure		Х
Swainson's Hawk	Buteo swainsoni	Sensitive		
Tennessee Warbler	Vermivora peregrina	Secure		Х
Three-toed Woodpecker	Picoides dorsalis	Secure		
Townsend's Solitaire	Mvadestes townsendi	Secure		
Tree Swallow	Tachycineta bicolor	Secure		Х
Veerv	Catharus fuscescens	Secure		~~~~~
Vesper Sparrow	Pooecetes gramineus	Secure		X
Warbling Vireo	Vireo allvus	Secure		X
Western Meadowlark	Sturnella neglecta	Secure		~ ~
Western Tanager	Piranga Iudoviciana	Sensitive		x
Western Wood-Pewee	Contonus sordidulus	Secure		X
White-breasted Nuthatch	Sitta carolinensis	Secure		X
White-crowned Sparrow	Zonotrichia leuconhrus	Secure		~ ~
White-throated Sparrow	Zonotrichia albicollis	Secure		X
White-winded Crosshill		Secure		~
	Catontronhorus	Gecule		
Willet	sominalmatus	Secure		Х
Wilson's Sping	Callinado delicato	Secure		v
	Coturnicons	Secure	Special Concorn	^
Yellow Rail	noveboracensis	Undetermined	(SARA Schedule 1)	
Yellow Warbler	Dendroica petechia	Secure		X
Yellow-bellied Sapsucker	Sphyrapicus varius	Secure		
	Xanthocephalus			×
Yellow-headed Blackbird	xanthocephalus	Secure		

Species			Status	Detected in RSA during 2007
Species	Osisetifis Name	Dura di stati		Surveys
		Provincial	Federal	N/
Yellow-rumped warbler	Dendroica coronata	Secure		X
Mammais				
Masked Shrew	Sorex cinereus	Secure		
Prairie Shrew	Sorex haydeni	Secure		
Dusky Shrew	Sorex monticolus	Secure		
Water Shrew	Sorex palustris	Secure		
Arctic Shrew	Sorex arcticus	Secure		
Pygmy Shrew	Sorex hoyi	Secure		
Little Brown Myotis	Myotis lucifugus	Secure		
		May Be at		
Northern Long-eared Bat	Myotis septentrionalis	Risk		
Silver-haired Bat	Lasionycteris noctivagans	Sensitive		
Big Brown Bat	Eptesicus fuscus	Secure		
Hoary Bat	Lasiurus cinereus	Sensitive		
Snowshoe Hare	Lepus americanus	Secure		Х
White-tailed Jackrabbit	Lepus townsendii	Secure		
Least Chipmunk	Tamias minimus	Secure		
Woodchuck	Marmota monax	Secure		
Richardson's Ground				
Squirrel	Spermophilus richardsonii	Secure		
Thirteen-lined Ground	Spermophilus			
Squirrel	tridecemlineatus	Undetermined		
Franklin's Ground Squirrel	Spermophilus franklinii	Undetermined		
Red Squirrel	Tamiasciurus hudsonicus	Secure		Х
Northern Flying Squirrel	Glaucomys sabrinus	Secure		
Northern Pocket Gopher	Thomomys talpoides	Secure		
Beaver	Castor canadensis	Secure		
Deer Mouse	Peromyscus maniculatus	Secure		
Southern Red-backed				
Vole	Clethrionomys gapperi	Secure		
Meadow Vole	Microtus pennsylvanicus	Secure		
Heather Vole	Phenacomys intermedius	Secure		
Muskrat	Ondatra zibethicus	Secure		
Northern Bog Lemming	Synaptomys borealis	Secure		
House Mouse	Mus musculus	Secure		
Meadow Jumping Mouse	Zapus hudsonius	Secure		
Western Jumping Mouse	Zapus princes	Secure		
Porcupine	Erethizon dorsatum	Secure		Х
Coyote	Canis latrans	Secure		Х
Red Fox	Vulpes vulpes	Secure		
Black Bear	Ursus americanus	Secure		
Racoon	Procvon lotor	Secure		
Ermine	Mustela erminea	Secure		Х
		May Be at		
Long-tailed weasel	Mustela frenata	Risk	Not At Risk	X
Least Weasel	Mustela nivalis	Secure		Х
Mink	Mustela vison	Secure		
American Badger	Taxidea taxus	Sensitive	Not At Risk	
Striped Skunk	Mephitis mephitis	Secure		
Canada Lynx	Lynx canadensis	Secure		
Mule Deer	Odocoileus hemionus	Secure		
White-tailed Deer	Odocoileus virginianus	Secure		Х

				Detected in RSA during
Species			Status	Surveys
Common Name	Scientific Name	Provincial ¹	Federal ²	Curreye
Moose	Alces alces	Secure		Х
Amphibian/Reptile Species				
Wood Frog	Rana sylvatica	Secure		Х
Boreal Chorus Frog	Pseudacris triseriata	Secure		Х
Western Toad	Bufo boreas	Sensitive	Special Concern (SARA Schedule 1)	
Canadian Tood	Bufa hamianhrua	May Be at	Not At Dick	Х
		rtisk	NULAL RISK	
liger Salamander	Ambystoma tigrinum	Secure		
Red-sided Garter Snake	Thamnophis sirtalis	Sensitive		

Notes:

¹ASRD (2005)

At Risk: any species known to be At Risk after a formal detailed status assessment and designation as Endangered or Threatened in Alberta.

May Be at Risk: any species that May Be at Risk of extinction or extirpation and is therefore a candidate for detailed risk assessment.

Sensitive: any species that is not at risk of extinction or extirpation but might need special attention or protection to prevent it from becoming at risk.

Secure: a species that is not At Risk, May Be at Risk or Sensitive.

Undetermined: any species for which insufficient information, knowledge or data are available to reliably evaluate its general status.

Not Assessed: any species that has not been examined for this report.

Exotic or Alien: any species that has been introduced.

Extirpated or Extinct: any species no longer thought to be present in Alberta (Extirpated) or no longer believed to be present anywhere in the world (Extinct).

Accidental or Vagrant: any species occurring infrequently and unpredictably in Alberta, i.e., outside its usual range. ² SARA (2002)

Extinct: a wildlife species that no longer exists.

Extirpated: a wildlife species no longer existing in the wild in Canada, but occurring elsewhere.

Endangered: a wildlife species facing imminent extirpation or extinction.

Threatened: a wildlife species likely to become endangered if limiting factors are not reversed.

Special Concern: a wildlife species that may become a threatened or an endangered species because of a combination of biological characteristics and identified threats.

Data Deficient: a category that applies when the available information is insufficient (a) to resolve a wildlife species' eligibility for assessment or (b) to permit an assessment of the wildlife species' risk of extinction.

Not At Risk: A wildlife species that has been evaluated and found to be not at risk of extinction given the current circumstances.

The Species at Risk Act (SARA) establishes Schedule 1 as the official list of wildlife species at risk. Under SARA, wildlife species that are listed on Schedules 2 and 3 must be assessed by COSEWIC within a given timeframe before they can be considered for addition to Schedule 1 of SARA.

No federal status if blank.

Sources: Western Research and Development, 1980; AGRA, 1998; Stantec, 2003; BA Energy, 2004; Shell, 2005; USGS-CWS, 2006; NAS, 2007; Federation of Alberta Naturalists unpublished data

Table 11.5-2 Wildlife Species of Concern Potentially Associated with Habitats in the Local Study Area (Taxonomic Order)

Specie	es		Detected
			in RSA during 2007
Common Name	Scientific Name	Associated Habitat Types in the LSA	Surveys
Horned Grebe	Podiceps auritus	Class III and Class IV wetlands	
Pied-billed Grebe	Podilymbus podiceps	Class III and Class IV wetlands	
Great Blue Heron	Ardea herodias	Class III and Class IV wetlands	
Green-winged Teal	Anas crecca	Class I through IV wetlands, Ephemeral streams, Populus spp. woodland and Salix spp. Shrubland	Х
Northern Pintail	Anas acuta	Class I through IV wetlands Tame Pasture	
Lesser Scaup	Authya affinis	Class III and Class IV wetlands	
Northern Harrier	Circus cyaneus	Class I through IV wetlands Tame Pasture	
Northern Goshawk	Accipiter genetilis	Populus spp. woodland	
Broad-winged Hawk	Buteo playpterus	Populus spp. woodland	
Swainson's Hawk	Buteo swainsonii	Populus spp. woodland, Tame Pasture	
Sharp-tailed Grouse	Tympanuchus phasianellus	<i>Populus spp.</i> woodland, Tame Pasture, <i>Salix spp.</i> Shrubland	
Black Tern	Childonias niger	Class III and Class IV wetlands	Х
Yellow Rail	Corturnicops noveboracensis	Class III and Class IV wetlands	
Sora	Porzana carolina	Class III and Class IV wetlands	Х
Barred Owl	Strix varia	Spruce Dominated and Populus spp. Woodlands	
Short-eared Owl	Asio flammeus	Class I through IV wetlands	
		Tame Pasture, Agricultural Lands	
Common Nighthawk	Chordeiles minor	Tame Pasture, Agricultural Lands, <i>Populus spp.</i> Woodland, Spruce Dominated Woodland, <i>Salix spp.</i> Shrubland	
Black-backed Woodpecker	Picoides arcticus	Spruce Dominated Woodland	
Pileated Woodpecker	Dryocopus pileatus	Populus spp. Woodland, Conifer Dominated Woodland	
Eastern Phoebe	Sayornis phoebe	<i>Populus spp.</i> Woodland, Habitation, <i>Salix spp.</i> Shrubland	X
Least Flycatcher	Empidonax minimus	Populus spp. Woodland	X
Purple Martin	Progne subis	Habitation	
Barn Swallow	Hirundo rustica	Habitation	Х
Brown Creeper	Certhia americana	Conifer Dominated Woodland	
Sprague's Pipit	Anthus spragueii	Tame Pasture	
Common Yellowthroat	Geothlypis trichas	Class III and Class IV wetlands	Х
Canada Warbler	Wilsonia canadensis	Conifer Dominated Woodland, <i>Salix spp.</i> Shrubland	
Western Tanager	Piranga Iudoviciana	Populus spp. Woodland, Conifer Dominated Woodland	X
Bobolink	Dolichonyx oryzivoros	Tame Pasture, Agricultural Lands	
Baltimore Oriole	Icterus galbula	Populus spp. Woodland	X
Northern Long-eared	Myotis	Conifer Dominated Woodland	
Bat	septentrionalis		

Specie	es .		Detected
Common Namo	Sciontific Name	Associated Habitat Types in the LSA	in RSA during 2007 Survoya
Silver baired Bat		Populus spp Woodland, Copifer dominated	Surveys
Silver-fiaired bat	noctivagans	Woodland	
Hoary Bat	Lasiurus cinereus	Populus spp. Woodland, Conifer-dominated Woodland	
Thirteen-lined Ground Squirrel	Spermophilus tridecemlineatus	Tame Pasture, Agricultural Lands, Salix <u>spp.</u> Shrubland	
Prairie Long-tailed	Mustela frenata	Tame Pasture, Agricultural Lands, <i>Salix</i> spp.	X
vveasei		Dominated Woodland	X
Franklin's Ground	Spermophilus	Tame Pasture, Agricultural Lands, Populus spp.	
Squirrel	franklinii	Woodland	
Prairie Vole	Microtus	Tame Pasture, Agricultural Lands	
	ochrogaster		
American Badger	Taxidea taxus	Tame Pasture, Agricultural Lands, <i>Salix</i> spp. Shrubland	
Canadian Toad	Bufo hemiophrys	Class III and Class IV wetlands	Х
Western Toad	(Bufo boreas)	Class III and Class IV wetlands	
Northern Leopard Frog	Rana pipiens	Class III and Class IV wetlands	
Plains Garter Snake	Thamnophis radix	Class I through IV wetlands, Ephemeral streams,	
		Tame Pasture, Agricultural Lands,	
		Populus spp. woodland and Salix spp. Shrubland	
Red-sided Garter	Thamnophis	Class I through IV wetlands, Ephemeral streams,	
Snake	sirtalis	Tame Pasture, Agricultural Lands,	
		Populus spp. woodland and Salix spp. Shrubland	

With the LSA being located in the parkland-boreal forest intergrade, it is also theoretically possible for western toads to exist in the region. However, boreal forest land cover is not located in the LSA.

Most of the listed species associated with waterbodies have a greater affinity for permanent ponds and marshes than ephemeral wetlands. These types of waterbodies are limited in number and size inside the LSA. The LSA is within the breeding range of the yellow rail (Semenchuk. 1992). There are a few small patches of tall sedge within the LSA which could theoretically provide suitable habitat for the rail. The Class III and IV wetlands in the LSA are suitable habitat types for horned and pied-billed grebes, great blue herons, green-winged teals, lesser scaups, black terns and soras. Of the possible waterbird species, northern pintails were considered to be the most probable to occur, since they can be associated with more ephemeral wetlands in addition to permanent waterbodies.

Many of the upland forest dwelling species were not expected to occur due to the lack of large tracts of forest. Species such as northern goshawks, broad-winged hawks, barred owls, pileated woodpeckers and western tanagers were predicted not to occur due to the availability of only small woodlots. Species such as Cape May, bay-breasted, Canada and black-throated green warblers, black-backed woodpeckers and brown creepers were also not expected due to the small size and lack of a conifer component in the remaining woodlots. The breeding range of these latter four species is also found more to the north of the LSA (Semenchuk, 1992).

The short-eared owl is highly nomadic, and densities can fluctuate greatly between years, with relatively high densities in a given region in one year, and none the next year if prey availability dramatically decreases (Holt and Leasure, 1993). Unlike most nocturnal owls, the short-eared

owl is diurnal. The open areas of the LSA where crops are not planted may be suitable hunting and nesting areas for the short-eared owl.

Given the fragmented state of the landscape, the composition of vegetation that remains in the remnant patches and the high proportion of agricultural land use, only a few other listed bird species were expected. Barn swallows, purple martins and eastern phoebes are associated with human-built structures and could occur near farm buildings. The composition and size of what forest remains was also expected to be suitable for Swainson's hawks, least flycatchers and Baltimore orioles.

Habitat availability and documentation of sightings in the region indicated that both the American badger and long-tailed weasel are possible in the LSA. The LSA is located near the northern limit of the long-tailed weasel (Pattie and Hoffman, 1990), and is also well within the distribution range of American badgers (Pattie and Hoffman, 1990). The limited amount of forested cover and lack of coniferous vegetation was predicted to limit the occurrence of northern long-eared, silver-haired and hoary bats on the property (Pattie and Hoffman, 1990).

11.5.1.3 Environmentally Sensitive Areas

Although there are no protected areas or environmentally sensitive/significant areas located within the LSA, the provincially significant North Saskatchewan River (NSR) valley is located 5 km to the northwest (Infotech, 1989). The NSR is an inter-provincially important watercourse that is characterized by diverse riparian and valley habitats. The river valley is a key wintering area for ungulates, contains historical peregrine falcon nest sites (a threatened species under the Alberta provincial *Wildlife Act* and a Schedule 1 Species under the *Species at Risk Act*) and has high recreation value (Infotech, 1989). The regionally significant Astotin Natural Area is situated 800 m to the north of the Project site. The Northwest of Bruderheim Natural Area has been a provincial natural area since 1963, and is characterized by a mix of low-relief sand dunes and wetlands, and also by diverse vegetation patterns. The North Bruderheim Natural Area forms part of the Beaverhill Creek wildlife movement corridor (Infotech, 1989), and is located approximately 3.2 km to the north.

11.5.1.4 Regionally Important Wildlife Habitats

The Project is located in the vicinity of several important wildlife areas. According to Poston et al. (1990), who identified priority habitat for migratory aquatic birds within the prairie provinces, the LSA falls within the Lake Edmonton Plain. Poston et al. (1990) lists the Lake Edmonton Plain area as containing nationally significant duck-staging sites, regionally significant duck-staging and colonial bird-breeding sites and locally significant duck-breeding and colonial bird non-breeding sites. However, in the LSA, water bodies are limited to a few shallow ephemeral wetlands; therefore, significant numbers of staging and breeding ducks and colonial nesters are not expected to occur.

The Alberta Fish and Wildlife Division identified areas associated with the NSR valleys as key winter habitat for ungulates (AFWD, 1981). The NSR valley is considered to be an important wildlife movement corridor. Multiple possible wildlife movement corridors stem from the NSR (Westworth and Knapik, 1987; Infotech, 1989; BA Energy, 2004; Shell, 2005), including one that includes the wooded area in the northwest section of the LSA (Figure 11.5-1).

OIL SANDS CORPORATION

11.5.2 Field Survey Results

11.5.2.1 Winter Track Count Survey

Eleven species or generic groups of wildlife were recorded on transects within the LSA during the winter track surveys on December 8 and 9, 2006 (Table 11.5-3). Of the species recorded during the survey in the LSA, the long-tailed weasel is the only species listed by the province of Alberta as a species that May Be at Risk (ASRD, 2005). None of the species observed on the North American site are federally listed. Noticeably absent from the LSA were hare tracks.

Overall, deer, long-tailed weasels and coyote tracks were the most abundant in the LSA in December 2006, with a frequency of 18.31 tracks/km/day for deer, 10.18 tracks/km/day for long-tailed weasels and 8.13 tracks/km/day for coyotes (Table 11.5-3). The frequency of grouse and small rodent tracks (3.08 tracks/km/day and 2.21 tracks/km/day, respectively) was greater than for moose (0.36 tracks/km/day). The tracks of red squirrel, porcupines, ermines, least weasels and shrews were present but rare in the LSA.

Habitat associations of wildlife species in the LSA were based on the broad habitat categories used for transect placement. Deer, grouse and moose tracks were most common in *Populus* spp. woodlands (Table 11.5-3). The greatest number of coyote and long-tailed weasel tracks were found in the small lot of spruce-dominated forest, with the latter species also having a high abundance of tracks in the *Salix* spp. shrubland habitat. The agricultural lands and tame pasture areas contained a moderate number of deer tracks, but were relatively devoid of tracks from other animals (Table 11.5-3). Overall, the wooded habitats had the highest frequencies of tracks, while open and agricultural habitats had the lowest frequencies of tracks.

Habitat Type	Transect Length (km)	Deer sp.	Moose	Coyote	Red Squirrel	Porcupine	Ermine	Long- tailed Weasel	Least Weasel	Mouse sp.	Shrew sp.	Grouse sp.
Populus spp. Woodland	0.3	50.00	1.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	10.00
Spruce Dominated Woodland	0.1	0.00	0.00	45.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	45.00	0.00	5.00	0.00	0.00
Agricultural Land and Tame Pasture	13.75	8.00	0.18	1.42	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.11	0.22	0.04	0.00
Class III and IV Wetland Edge	1.55	14.84	0.32	0.97	0.32	0.00	0.32	0.00	0.00	1.29	0.00	0.00
Vegetated Edge in Open Agriculture	1.3	10.00	0.00	0.38	0.00	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.38	5.77	0.00	8.46
Salix spp. Shrubland	0.5	27.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	11.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	0.00
Mean Frequency		18.31	0.36	8.13	0.05	0.06	0.05	10.18	0.08	2.21	0.01	3.08

Table 11.5-3 Winter Track- Frequencies (tracks/km/day) within the Upgrader Site in December 2006¹

1. Greatest abundance of tracks for each species or groups is noted in bold text.

Regional Habitat Associations of Key Species

The results of the snow track surveys in the Northwest of Bruderheim Natural area indicate that deer were strongly associated with vegetative cover. Deciduous, coniferous, mixedwood and willow shrub habitats contained deer tracks, while the open agriculture, cleared areas and ponds did not contain deer tracks (Table 11.5-4). Of the habitat types available in the Northwest of Bruderheim Natural area, deer were found to be associated most with the coniferous-dominated forests. In contrast, the deer tracks in the LSA were found in areas that contained cover, as well as open areas. In general, deer tracks in both the property and the natural area were most strongly associated with forest cover. Relatively more deer tracks were detected along transects in the Project area than in the Natural Area.

Neither the LSA nor the Northwest of Bruderheim Natural Area contained high quantities of moose tracks. Though uncommon in all habitat types, the highest numbers of moose tracks were found in *Populus* spp. woodlots in both the LSA and the natural area (Table 11.5-4). Similar numbers of moose tracks were detected along transects in the LSA as were found in the Natural Area.

The coyote tracks found in both the LSA and natural area were mostly associated with vegetative cover. The highest abundance of tracks in the LSA was found in the spruce-dominated habitat, whereas the highest abundance of tracks in the natural area was found in *Populus* spp. woodlots (Table 11.5-4). However, the second-highest abundance of tracks in the LSA was associated with the open agricultural areas. In general, coyote tracks were not common in the Natural Area. Relatively more coyote tracks were detected along transects in the LSA than in the Natural Area.

The habitat association of the long-tailed weasels was similar to that of coyotes. The greatest abundance of long-tailed weasel tracks was associated with the spruce-dominated woodlot in the LSA and in *Populus* spp. forest in the natural area. Long-tailed weasel tracks were also found to be associated with *Salix* spp. shrubland in both the LSA and Natural Area.

Overall, the key species were all mostly associated with habitat that provided some sort of cover. Only deer and coyotes had more than one track per kilometre per day in the open agricultural or cleared areas. The tracks located in the open spaces were a small proportion of total number of tracks detected for both deer and coyotes.

The results of the regional roadside transect survey suggest that deer are more likely to remain closer to areas with greater cover than coyotes (Figure 11.5-1). The coyote tracks were located more evenly along the transect, without an apparent affinity to more forested areas. In contrast, deer tracks were found to be relatively more abundant in association with the more forested region of the Cooking Lake Moraine that includes Elk Island National Park. The LSA is situated between the forested area of the moraine to the southeast, and the forested regions of the NSR valley and other forested areas to the northwest. It may be that landscape-scale movements of animals such as white-tailed deer currently pass through or in the vicinity of the LSA.

		LSA	Na	tural Area
	Transect	Track	Transect	Track
	Length	Abundance	Length	Abundance
	(km)	(tracks/km/day)	(km)	(tracks/km/day)
Deer				
Populus spp. Woodland	0.3	50.0	0.2	5.0
Salix spp. Shrubland	0.5	27.0	0.5	2.7
Class III and IV Wetland Edge	1.6	14.8	0.0	0.0
Vegetated Edge in Open Agriculture	1.3	10.0	0.0	0.0
Agricultural Land and Tame Pasture	13.8	8.0	0.0	0.0
Spruce-dominated Woodland	0.1	0.0	0.5	4.7
Pure Coniferous	0.0	0.0	1.1	19.0
Moose				
Populus spp. Woodland	0.3	1.7	0.2	1.7
Class III and IV Wetland Edge	1.6	0.3	0.0	0.0
Agricultural Land and Tame Pasture	13.8	0.2	0.0	0.0
Spruce-dominated Woodland	0.1	0.0	0.5	0.0
Vegetated Edge in Open Agriculture	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Salix spp. Shrubland	0.5	0.0	0.5	2.0
Pure Coniferous	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.3
Coyote				
Spruce-dominated Woodland	0.1	45.0	0.5	0.0
Agricultural Land and Tame Pasture	13.8	1.4	0.0	0.0
Salix spp. Shrubland	0.5	1.0	0.5	0.7
Class III and IV Wetland Edge	1.6	1.0	0.0	0.0
Vegetated Edge in Open Agriculture	1.3	0.4	0.0	0.0
Populus spp. Woodland	0.3	0.0	0.2	3.3
Pure Coniferous	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.0
Long-tailed Weasel				
Spruce-dominated Woodland	0.1	45.0	0.5	0.7
Salix spp. Shrubland	0.5	11.0	0.5	1.3
Populus spp. Woodland	0.3	5.0	0.2	1.7
Agricultural Land and Tame Pasture	13.8	0.1	0.0	0.0
Class III and IV Wetland Edge	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
Vegetated Edge in Open Agriculture	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Pure Coniferous	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.0

Table 11.5-4 Comparison of Habitat Associations between the LSA and the Northwest of Bruderheim Natural Area

11.5.2.2 Nocturnal Owls

Nocturnal owl surveys were conducted in the LSA and RSA on March 22, March 28 and April 3, 2007, between 2245 and 0100 hours. Twenty-five owl responses representing three different species (great horned owl, northern saw-whet owl and long-eared owl) were detected during the three surveys, with a mean detection of 8.3 owl responses per night (Table 11.5-5) (Figure 11.4-3). Owls were detected at 11 of the 14 stations, with potential pairs detected at two of the stations. Three owl species were recorded at Station 4.

With 21 occurrences, the great horned owl was the most common species recorded in the study area. Northern saw-whet owls were found calling on three occasions on two of the three survey

survey nights. Of the 21 detections of great horned owls, five of the responses were recorded from survey stations within the LSA (Figure 11.4-3). Three of the five great horned owl responses in the LSA

stations within the LSA (Figure 11.4-3). Three of the five great horned owl responses in the LSA occurred during one survey night, and two were recorded during a second survey night. One of the three northern saw-whet owl records and the long-eared owl were recorded from stations within the LSA.

Through a combination of occurrence rates, location and potential home-range size, the number of owl territories can be estimated for the study area. Based on other studies in similar habitat, the home range of great horned owls in fragmented landscapes such as the RSA would be approximately 1,500 ha (Houston et al., 1998). The habitat requirements for great horned owl are general. Great horned owls reuse hawk or corvid nests, and have a diet that can include rodents, lagomorphs and birds. Red-tailed hawks, crows and magpies are relatively numerous in the RSA, and would be sources of potential nest sites for great horned owls. Fragmented agricultural lands can be productive habitat for potential great horned owl prey. At one point during the three survey nights, great horned owl responses and the number of nights during which they were recorded, nine great horned owl responses and the number of nights during which they (Figure 11.4-3). Of these nine territories, two are estimated to occur on the LSA (Figure 11.4-3).

Based on the location of northern saw-whet owl detections and typical home-range size (150 ha; Cannings, 1993), three territorial pairs were estimated to be nesting in the study area in 2007 (Figure 11.4-3). One of the three pairs was located adjacent to the LSA, and two were located closer to the more forested area to the north of the LSA. No northern saw-whet owls were found within the LSA. The habitat requirements for northern saw-whet include mature trees that are large enough for cavity-nesting species. This habitat is very limited in the LSA.

Survey Station	Species	March 22	April 13	April 24	Individuals Detected
LSA	·	•			
1	Great Horned Owl	0	1	1	1
2	Great Horned Owl	0	1	0	1
3	None	0	0	0	0
4	Great Horned Owl	0	1	1	1
	Northern Saw-whet Owl	0	1	0	1
	Long-eared Owl	1	0	0	1
RSA					
5	Great Horned Owl	1	2	1	2
	Northern Saw-whet Owl	0	1	0	1
6	Great Horned Owl	0	0	1	1
7	Great Horned Owl	1	0	0	1
8	Great Horned Owl	1	0	2	2
9	Great Horned Owl	0	1	1	1
10	Great Horned Owl	0	1	0	1
	Northern Saw-whet Owl	1	0	0	1
11	Great Horned Owl	1	1	1	1
12	None	0	0	0	0
13	None	0	0	0	0
14	Great Horned Owl	0	0	1	1
Total Detection	ons	6	10	9	17

Table 11.5-5Nocturnal Owls Detected During Surveys Conducted in the Study Area,
March – April 2007

The lone long-eared owl detection was recorded in the direction of the Providence Grain terminal. The owl was most likely hunting for mice in the complex, as nesting habitat for the species is extremely limited and the owl was only detected once, early in the spring. Long-eared owl home-range size has been documented at close to 1000 ha (Marks et al., 1994). Similar to great horned owls, long-eared owls can reuse the stick nests of hawks and corvids (Marks et al., 1994), and nesting habitat is located within the LSA near the survey location. However, given the fact that this species was only recorded during the first survey period and that the species is migratory, it may be possible that the individual did not set up a nesting territory in the study area.

The distribution ranges and coniferous habitat requirements for boreal and northern pygmy owls are found north and west of the LSA (Semenchuk, 1992; Hayward and Hayward, 1993). Within the LSA, spruce trees are very rare, and these species of owls are not expected to occur. The common nesting habitat of great gray owls in Canada is the taiga, with interspersed spruce bogs, muskeg and open hunting space (Bull and Duncan, 1993). The study area is within the breeding range of great gray owls in Alberta (Semenchuk, 1992); however, breeding occurrences are relatively rare in central Alberta, and there is a lack of suitable breeding habitat in the study area. Great gray, snowy and northern hawk owls are more commonly detected in the winter in the region. No incidental observations of these species were recorded during the winter track counts.

11.5.2.3 Amphibian Surveys

Amphibian surveys were conducted on April 25, May 5 and May 23, 2007. Three species of amphibians were detected in the study areas: the boreal chorus frog, wood frog and Canadian toad. The boreal chorus frog was the most widespread amphibian in the study area, having been detected at 28 (or 93%) of 30 stations. Boreal chorus frogs were detected at 10 (or 83%) of the 12 survey stations within the LSA. The wood frog was the second most widespread species. Wood frogs occurred at 26 survey stations (87%), with detections at 9 (or 75%) of 12 LSA survey stations. Canadian toads were detected at two survey stations (7%), neither of which was within the LSA. In general, the percentage of stations with amphibians was lower in the LSA relative to the RSA.

The peak of calling activity occurred at different times for the frog species (Figure 11.5-2). Wood frogs were more active during the first week of surveys, with the chorus frogs relatively more active during the second and third surveys. Canadian toads were heard calling only during the second and third survey periods. The activity of chorus frogs declined at a relatively slower rate than wood frogs, with wood frog activity dropping dramatically with time.

According to the abundance coding at each survey station, amphibians were most abundant in more permanent and deeper water bodies (Class III and IV wetlands), as well as flooded willows (Figure 11.5-3). More boreal chorus frogs than wood frogs were found in ephemeral and shallow wetlands (Class I and II Wetlands). Conversely, wood frogs were relatively more abundant in the more permanent and deeper waterbodies. Canadian toads were noted calling only in ephemeral wetlands.

Other than the North Wetland Complex in the LSA, amphibian habitat is limited to a few ephemeral wetlands, and consequently, amphibian diversity is low. The study area is within the breeding range of tiger salamanders, which are listed as Not at Risk by the Alberta Endangered Species Conservation Committee (AESCC) (AFWD, 2004). No incidental observations of tiger salamanders were made during the wildlife surveys conducted between April and May. Similarly, although the study area is within the distribution range of red-sided garter snakes, none were recorded incidentally during the 2007 surveys.

11.5.2.4 Songbird Surveys

Songbird surveys were conducted on June 7, 14, 20 and 21, 2007. Surveys were conducted at 12 point-count stations within the LSA and 12 point-count stations within the RSA within the general habitat types described in Volume 4, Section 10, Vegetation and Wetlands.

The aggregate of the 50 m radius and all incidental observations included 371 individual records of 56 species of songbirds in the RSA, and 186 individuals of 38 species in the LSA (Table 11.5-6), for averages of 13.4 birds and 4.8 species per station in the LSA, and 23.2 birds and 6.0 species per station in the RSA. Standardized data from the fixed-radius observations indicate that the most common species by number of individuals were red-winged blackbirds, yellow warblers, least flycatchers, clay-colored sparrows and savannah sparrows (Table 11.5-7). The species with the greatest niche breadth, as measured by the number of habitat types in which they were detected, were clay-colored sparrows, found in all habitats, followed by brownheaded cowbirds and yellow warblers, found in seven of the eight habitat types surveyed (Table 11.5-7). Nineteen species were found in only one habitat type in the study area. Ten of these narrow-niche species were associated with mixedwood forests of the Natural Areas in the RSA, three were recorded near homesteads, two were observed in the Class IV wetland, two in a deciduous-dominated woodlot and one in an agricultural setting (Table 11.5-8).

The fixed-radius point-count data were used to determine species compositions within various habitat types. Species richness was highest in the mixedwood forests of the natural areas, at 30 species identified (Table 11.5-9). The overall difference in species richness between habitat types was significant (Kruskall-Wallis, P=0.014). Specifically, agricultural land had significantly fewer species than all habitat types (Mann-Whitney U-test, adjusted for ties P<0.05), except for early successional mixed shrubland and spruce-dominated woodland.

The *Salix* spp. shrubland habitat had the highest density, of 27.4 songbirds/ha (Table 11.5-12). Similar to species richness, agricultural land had the lowest density, of 9.9 songbirds/ha. *Populus* spp. woodlots, habitation, spruce-dominated woodlots and the Class IV wetland had similar densities, near 25 songbirds/ha (Table 11.5-10). There was a relatively large range in bird densities among habitat types in the study area, resulting in a significant difference in bird densities between habitat types (Kruskall-Wallis, P=0.030).

Of the 11 sensitive songbird species that have been noted in the region, six were observed in the RSA, and only five recorded in the LSA (Table 11.5-6). Sensitive songbird species were noted in all habitat types, except the early successional mixed shrubland (Table 11.5-11). Barn swallows were the only sensitive species recorded in agricultural land. However, this species is associated with manmade structures for nest sites and was likely foraging in the agricultural areas. The *Populus* spp. woodlands had the highest number of sensitive songbird species, with three, although only one (least flycatcher) of the three sensitive species recorded in the *Populus* spp. woodlands was noted in the LSA.

Species ¹	LSA	RSA	Species	LSA	RSA
Alder Flycatcher	Х	Х	Lincoln's Sparrow	Х	Х
American Crow	Х	Х	Marsh Wren	Х	Х
American Goldfinch	Х	Х	Mountain Bluebird		Х
American Redstart	Х	Х	Orange-crowned Warbler		Х
American Robin	Х	Х	Ovenbird		Х
Baltimore Oriole	Х	Х	Pine Siskin	Х	Х
Barn Swallow	Х	Х	Purple Finch	Х	Х
Black-and-white Warbler		Х	Rose-breasted Grosbeak		Х
Black-billed Magpie	Х	Х	Red-breasted Nuthatch		Х
Black-capped Chickadee	Х	Х	Ruby-crowned Kinglet	Х	Х
Brown-headed Cowbird	Х	Х	Red-eyed Vireo	Х	Х
Blue-headed Vireo		Х	Red-winged Blackbird	Х	Х
Brewer's Blackbird	Х	Х	Savannah Sparrow	Х	Х
Clay-colored Sparrow	Х	Х	Song Sparrow	Х	Х
Cedar Waxwing	Х	Х	Swainson's Thrush		Х
Chipping Sparrow	Х	Х	Tennessee Warbler	Х	Х
Common Raven	Х	Х	Tree Swallow	Х	Х
Common Yellowthroat	Х	Х	Vesper Sparrow	Х	Х
Dark-eyed Junco		Х	Warbling Vireo	Х	Х
Eastern Kingbird	Х	Х	White-breasted Nuthatch		Х
Eastern Phoebe	Х	Х	Western Tanager		Х
European Starling	Х	Х	White-throated Sparrow	Х	Х
Gray Jay		Х	Western Wood-Pewee	Х	Х
Hermit Thrush		Х	Yellow-headed Blackbird	Х	Х
Horned Lark	Х	Х	Yellow-rumped Warbler	Х	Х
House Sparrow	Х	Х	Yellow Warbler	Х	Х
House Wren	Х	Х			
LeConte's Sparrow	Х	Х]		
Least Flycatcher	X	Х]		

Table 11.5-6 Songbird Species Recorded in the LSA and RSA

1. Sensitive species in bold.

Table 11.5-7 Most Common Songbird Species Recorded in the LSA and RSA

Commonness by	Numb	er	Commonness by Habitat Association				
Species ¹	No.	Percent of Total (413)	Species	Number of Habitat Types	Percent of All 8 Habitat Types		
Red-winged Blackbird	41	9.9	Clay-colored Sparrow	8	100		
Yellow Warbler	29	7.0	Brown-headed Blackbird	7	87.5		
Least Flycatcher	23	5.6	Yellow Warbler	7	87.5		
Clay-colored Sparrow	22	5.3	House Wren	6	75.0		
Savannah Sparrow	19	4.6	Song Sparrow	6	75.0		
			American Robin	5	62.5		
			Brewer's Blackbird	5	62.5		
			Red-eyed Vireo	5	62.5		
			Savannah Sparrow	5	62.5		
			American Crow	4	50.0		
			Cedar Waxwing	4	50.0		
			Least Flycatcher	4	50.0		
			Red-winged Blackbird	4	50.0		

1. Sensitive species in bold.

Table 11.5-8	Narrow-niche Song	bird Species	s and T	heir Assoc	ciated Habitat	Туре
	(excluding waterbing	ds)				

Habitat	Narrow Niche Species ¹	
	Black-and-white Warbler	
	Blue-headed Vireo	
	Dark-eyed Junco	
	Gray Jay	
Mixedwood Forest of	Hermit Thrush	
Natural Areas	Ovenbird	
	Red-breasted Nuthatch	
	Swainson's Thrush	
	Western Wood-Pewee	
	White-breasted Nuthatch	
	Marsh Wren	
Class IV wetland	Yellow-headed Blackbird	
Habitation	House Sparrow	
(homestead)	Pine Siskin	
Populus opp woodlot	Baltimore Oriole	
Fopulus spp. woodiot	Western Tanager	
Agricultural Land	European Starling	

1. Sensitive species in bold.

Table 11.5-9 Results of the Fixed-Radius Breeding Bird Point Counts in the LSA and RSA

Mixedwood Forest of Natural	Areas	Populus spp. Woodlot		Habitation		Salix spp. Shrubland	Spruce-dominated Wood	llot	Agricultural Land		Class IV Wetland		Early Successional Mix Shrubland	æd
Species ¹	No.	Species	No.	Species	No.	Species No.	Species	No.	Species	No.	Species	No.	Species	No.
Least Flycatcher	10	Yellow Warbler	11	Cedar Waxwing	4	Red-winged Blackbird 11	Cedar Waxwing	4	Savannah Sparrow	13	Red-winged Blackbird	19	American Crow	2
Red-eyed Vireo	9	Red-winged Blackbird	10	Clay-colored Sparrow	4	Least Flycatcher 6	Tennessee Warbler	3	Brewer's Blackbird	7	Yellow-headed Blackbird	5	Brewer's Blackbird	2
White-throated Sparrow	9	American Robin	7	Song Sparrow	4	Yellow Warbler 4	American Robin	2	Brown-headed Cowbird	7	Clay-colored Sparrow	2	Brown-headed Cowbird	2
Yellow Warbler	8	House Wren	6	American Robin	3	Clay-colored Sparrow 3	Clay-colored Sparrow	2	Horned Lark	5	Lincoln's Sparrow	2	Savannah Sparrow	2
Tennessee Warbler	6	Song Sparrow	6	Barn Swallow	3	American Goldfinch 2	Least Flycatcher	2	American Crow	3	Marsh Wren	2	Clay-colored Sparrow	1
Yellow-rumped Warbler	5	Clay-colored Sparrow	5	House Wren	3	American Robin 2	American Goldfinch	1	Clay-colored Sparrow	3	Song Sparrow	2	Common Raven	1
Black-capped Chickadee	4	Least Flycatcher	5	Black-capped Chickadee	2	Black-capped Chickadee 2	Brown-headed Cowbird	1	Vesper Sparrow	3	Yellow Warbler	2	House Wren	1
Chipping Sparrow	4	Tree Swallow	4	Chipping Sparrow	2	Alder Flycatcher 1	Chipping Sparrow	1	Barn Swallow	2	American Crow	1	Song Sparrow	1
American Robin	3	Warbling Vireo	4	Eastern Phoebe	2	Brewer's Blackbird 1	House Wren	1	Common Raven	1	Black-billed Magpie	1	Yellow Warbler	1
Dark-eyed Junco	3	Baltimore Oriole	3	Pine Siskin	2	Brown-headed Cowbird 1	Red-eyed Vireo	1	Eastern Kingbird	1	Common Yellowthroat	1		
Alder Flycatcher	2	Black-billed Magpie	2	Yellow Warbler	2	House Wren 1	Song Sparrow	1	European Starling	1	Savannah Sparrow	1		
Brewer's Blackbird	2	Cedar Waxwing	2	American Crow	1	Lincoln's Sparrow 1	Yellow Warbler	1	Red-winged Blackbird	1				
Brown-headed Cowbird	2	Eastern Kingbird	2	American Goldfinch	1	Red-eyed Vireo 1								
Clay-colored Sparrow	2	Purple Finch	2	Brown-headed Cowbird	1	Rose-breasted Grosbeak 1								
Hermit Thrush	2	Savannah Sparrow	2	Horned Lark	1	Song Sparrow 1								
Ovenbird	2	White-throated Sparrow	2	House Sparrow	1	Tree Swallow 1								
Red-breasted Nuthatch	2	Yellow-rumped Warbler	2	Red-eyed Vireo	1	Warbling Vireo 1								
Swainson's Thrush	2	American Redstart	1	Savannah Sparrow	1	White-throated Sparrow 1								
White-breasted Nuthatch	2	Brewer's Blackbird	1	Vesper Sparrow	1									
American Redstart	1	Brown-headed Cowbird	1	Yellow-rumped Warbler	1									
Black-and-white Warbler	1	Red-eyed Vireo	1											
Blue-headed Vireo	1	Rose-breasted Grosbeak	1											
Cedar Waxwing	1	Ruby-crowned Kinglet	1											
Gray Jay	1	Western Tanager	1											
House Wren	1													
Orange-crowned Warbler	1													
Purple Finch	1													
Rose-breasted Grosbeak	1													
Ruby-crowned Kinglet	1													
Western Wood-pewee	1													
Total Species	30	Total Species	26	Total Species	21	Total Species 24	Total Species	13	Total Species	13	Total Species	17	Total Species	9
Total Individuals	90	Total Individuals	84	Total Individuals	43	Total Individuals 47	Total Individuals	21	Total Individuals	50	Total Individuals	65	Total Individuals	13

1. Sensitive species in bold.

Habitat	Density per ha
Salix spp. Shrubland	27.39
Populus spp. Woodlot	26.43
Habitation	25.48
Spruce-dominated Woodlot	25.48
Class IV Wetland	24.20
Mixedwood	19.11
Early Successional Mixed Shrubland	16.56
Agricultural Land	9.98
Overall	19.85

Table 11.5-10 Songbird Densities by Habitat Type within the LSA and RSA

Table 11.5-11 Bird Species Diversity (BSD) by Habitat Type in the Study Area

Habitat	ALL
Mixedwood Forest of Natural Areas	1.08
Populus spp. woodlot	0.98
Salix spp. shrubland	0.55
Agricultural Land	0.55
Habitation	0.54
Class IV Wetland	0.41
Spruce-dominated Woodlot	0.28
Early Successional Mixed Shrubland	0.19

Within the RSA, the mixedwood forests of the Natural Areas had the highest songbird species diversity index value, of 1.08, followed closely by *Populus* spp. woodlots at 0.98 (Table 11.5-11). These values indicate that most sites within inhabited areas and *Populus* spp. woodlots supported numerous individuals of many species. Conversely, habitats such as spruce-dominated woodlots and early successional mixed shrubland were represented by a few individuals of a few species.

Waterbirds

Waterbird surveys conducted in conjunction with the songbird and amphibian surveys resulted in a moderate number of observations (Table 11.5-12). A total of 14 species were recorded, including ducks, geese, shorebirds, rails and gulls. The most common species were blue-winged teals, ruddy ducks, ring-billed gulls and Canada geese. The Class IV wetland habitat supported the highest number of individuals, and a similar number of species as agricultural land (although most of these observations were likely associated with unmapped temporary waterbodies). Soras were observed in three habitat types, including *Populus* spp. woodlot, *Salix* spp. shrubland and Class IV wetland. It is likely that the sora detected in the woodlot were actually in a small wetland near the point-count station.

	Habitat								
Species	<i>Populus</i> spp. Woodlot	<i>Salix</i> spp <i>.</i> shrubland	Class IV wetland	Agricultural Land	Mixedwood Forest of Natural Areas	Total			
American Avocet				1		1			
American Coot			1			1			
Black Tern			1			1			
Blue-winged Teal			10	7		17			
Canada Goose					10	10			
Green-winged Teal			1			1			
Killdeer			1	3		4			
Mallard			4	2		6			
Northern Shoveler		1		4		5			
Ring-billed Gull				10		10			
Ruddy Duck			13			13			
Sora	2	2	2			6			
Willet				1		1			
Wilson's Snipe	2	2				4			
Total	4	5	33	28	10	80			

Table 11.5-12 Waterbird Species Observed within the LSA and RSA

11.5.3 Baseline Habitat Availability

11.5.3.1 Deer

LSA Baseline Habitat Availability

Based on winter track data and incidental observations, forest-shrub habitat is considered to be highly suitable for deer compared to other habitat types in the LSA (Figure 11.5-4). Of the 562 ha located within the LSA, only 5.6 ha (1.0%) have been classified as upland forest and shrubland (Table 11.5-13, Figure 11.5-4). The LSA also contained 35.5 ha (6.3%) of moderately suitable habitat such as tame pasture and wetlands with vegetated margins, which can be used for bedding and fawning sites. The majority of the LSA (491.9 ha, 87.6%) is considered relatively low-quality habitat for deer, with agricultural land and habitation dominant across the landscape. The 28.7 ha (5.1%) of ephemeral streams and wetlands, and transportation corridors found within the LSA were not considered suitable habitat for deer.

RSA Baseline Habitat Availability

Approximately 24% (5,613 ha) of the total 23,288 ha located within the wildlife RSA was considered high-quality habitat for deer (Table 11.5-13, Figure 11.5-5). Based on winter track data and incidental observations, forest-shrub habitat is considered to be highly suitable for deer compared to other habitat types in the RSA. The RSA also contains 2429 ha (10.4%) of moderate-quality habitat such as mixed grassland and wetlands, which provide forage for deer. The majority of the RSA (55%) is considered low-quality habitat for deer, and consisted of anthropogenic areas, lakes and streams and wet meadows. The 2,445.1 ha (10.5%) of transportation corridors, infrastructure and exposed soil is not considered to be suitable habitat for deer.

11.5.3.2 Moose

LSA Baseline Habitat Availability

The LSA contained very little moose activity. Within the LSA, 512.2 ha (91.2%) of the total 561.7 ha is considered unsuitable for moose (Pattie and Hoffman, 1990; Smith et al., 1993) (Figure 11.5-6). The LSA contains 5.6 ha (1.0%) of what may be high-quality moose habitat, which is typically upland forest and shrub (Pattie and Hoffman, 1990; Smith et al., 1993) (Table 11.5-13, Figure 11.5-6). Most of the high-quality habitat occurs in the northern end of the LSA, with several small patches in the southern end. The LSA also contained 16.2 ha (2.9%) of moderately suitable habitat such as ephemeral and seasonal wetlands. Based on the tracking surveys, the utilization of tame pasture and habitation (27.7 ha total area, or 4.9%) by moose is low.

RSA Baseline Habitat Availability

Based on winter track data and incidental observations, forest, shrub and wet meadow habitats were most preferred by moose compared to other habitat types within the RSA (Table 11.5-13, Figure 11.5-7). Of the 23,288 ha within the wildlife RSA boundary, 5,692 ha (24.4%) has been classified as forest, shrub or wet meadow. The RSA also provides 1,648 ha (7.1%) of moderate-quality habitats such as wetlands, lakes and streams and lichen bogs. Based on tracking surveys within the LSA and knowledge of moose habitat requirements, use of the 1,048 ha (4.5%) of mixed grassland and new burns is expected to be low. The 14,896 ha (64%) of anthropogenic features, agriculture and exposed soil is not considered to be suitable habitat for moose in this area.

Species Suitability	LSA		RSA	
Rating	Hectares	Percent of Total	Hectares	Percent of Total
Deer				
High	5.6	1.0	5,613.1	24.1
Moderate	35.5	6.3	2,428.7	10.4
Low	491.9	87.6	12,797.4	55.0
Nil	28.7	5.1	2,445.1	10.5
Unclassified	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0
Totals	561.7	100.0	23,287.5	100.0
Moose				
High	5.6	1.0	5,691.8	24.4
Moderate	16.2	2.9	1,648.1	7.1
Low	27.7	4.9	1,048.6	4.5
Nil	512.2	91.2	14,895.8	64.0
Unclassified	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0
Totals	561.7	100.0	23,287.5	100.0
Coyote				
High	4.1	0.7	3,861.5	16.6
Moderate	504.3	89.8	14,288.4	61.4
Low	11.0	2.0	2,689.4	11.5
Nil	42.2	7.5	2,445.1	10.5
Unclassified	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0
Totals	561.7	100.0	23,287.5	100.0

Table 11.5-13 Habitat Suitability and Availability at Baseline and with Project Development in the LSA and RSA

Species Suitability	LSA		RSA				
Rating	Hectares	Percent of Total	Hectares	Percent of Total			
Long-tailed Weasel							
High	5.6	1.0	4,401.9	18.9			
Moderate	19.3	89.5	2,259.8	9.7			
Low	499.6	2.9	14,161.1	60.8			
Nil	37.1	6.6	2,461.5	10.6			
Unclassified	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0			
Totals	561.7	100.0	23,287.5	100.0			
Great-horned Owl							
High	12.5	2.2	3,479.0	14.9			
Moderate	1.5	0.3	1,768.0	7.6			
Low	502.8	89.5	15,592.3	67.0			
Nil	44.9	8.0	2,445.0	10.5			
Unclassified	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0			
Totals	561.7	100.0	23,287.5	100.0			
Songbirds							
High	5.2	0.9	4,284.5	18.4			
Moderate	39.2	7.0	3,836.1	16.5			
Low	512.4	91.2	12,718.7	54.6			
Nil	4.9	0.9	2,445.1	10.5			
Unclassified	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0			
Totals	561.7	100.0	23,287.5	100.0			
Amphibians							
High	16.2	2.9	2,532.4	10.9			
Moderate	38.8	6.9	4,807.6	20.6			
Low	491.9	87.6	13,499.3	58.0			
Nil	15.0	2.7	2,445.1	10.5			
Unclassified	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0			
Totals	561.7	100.0	23,287.5	100.0			

11.5.3.3 Coyote

LSA Baseline Habitat Availability

Based on winter track data, mixedwood habitat is considered highly suitable for coyotes compared to the other habitat types within the LSA (Figure 11.5-8). Of the 562 ha located within the LSA, just 4.1 ha (0.7%) have been classified as upland deciduous or spruce-dominated woodlots (Table 11.5-13, Figure 11.5-8). The LSA also contained 504.3 ha (89.8%) of moderately suitable habitat such as shrubland, agricultural land and tame pasture, which may be used for foraging and travel. Based on the tracking surveys, the utilization of the deep marshes and unclassified wetlands (11.0 ha total area, or 2.0%) by coyotes is low. Ephemeral ponds and streams, and disturbed lands such as roads (42.2 ha total area, or 7.5%) in the LSA were not considered suitable habitat for coyotes, based on the track count surveys.

RSA Baseline Habitat Availability

Data from winter track surveys and incidental observations suggest that forest and shrub habitats are highly suitable for coyotes compared to other habitat types available in the RSA (Table 11.5-13, Figure 11.5-9). Of the 23,288 ha located within the wildlife RSA, 3,862 ha (16.6%) have been classified as upland forest or shrub. The majority of the RSA (14,288 ha, or 64%) is considered to be moderate-quality habitat for coyotes, and includes habitat such as agricultural land, wetlands and wet shrublands. The 2,689 ha (11.5%) of open habitat such as

mixed grassland and new burns, and wetland or riparian areas, were considered low-quality foraging habitat for coyotes.

11.5.3.4 Long-tailed Weasel

LSA Baseline Habitat Availability

Based on winter track data and incidental observations, the forest-shrub habitat is considered to be highly suitable for long-tailed weasel compared to other habitat types within the LSA (Figure 11.5-10). Of the 562 ha located within the LSA, only 5.6 ha (1.0%) were classified as upland forest and shrubland (Table 11.5-13, Figure 11.5-10). Based on tracking surveys, long-tailed weasels did not use the 499.6 ha (88.9%) of agricultural lands, Class III, Class IV and Unclassified wetland habitats. However, this type of habitat is occasionally used by long-tailed weasels (Pattie and Hoffman, 1990; Smith et al., 1993); therefore, these habitats were ranked as low as opposed to nil. The 19.3 ha (3.4%) of tame pasture is likely to be moderately used habitat (Pattie and Hoffman, 1990; Smith et al., 1993), although only a few tracks were detected in this habitat. The Class I/II and ephemeral wetlands, the inhabited areas and the transportation rights-of-way found within the LSA were not considered suitable habitat for long-tailed weasel based on track count results.

RSA Baseline Habitat Availability

Based on winter track data and incidental observations, the forest-shrub habitat is considered to be highly suitable for long-tailed weasel compared to other habitat types within the RSA (Table 11.5-13, Figure 11.5-11). Of the 23,288 ha within the wildlife RSA boundary, 4,402 ha (18.9%) has been classified as deciduous or spruce forest, or shrubby habitat. The majority of the RSA (60.8%) is composed of low-quality habitat, including open habitats such as grasslands, agriculture and new burns, and unproductive coniferous forest such as pine and black spruce bog. The use of mesic habitats such as wetlands, riparian, wet meadows and lichen meadows is also expected to be low (Pattie and Hoffman, 1990; Smith et al., 1993). The 2,462 ha (10.5%) of anthropogenic features and exposed soil were not considered suitable habitat for long-tailed weasel based on track data.

11.5.3.5 Great Horned Owl

LSA Baseline Habitat Availability

Based on published accounts of suitable breeding habitat (Houston et al., 1998) and the results of the nocturnal owl surveys, the forested portions of the LSA, including farmyards, represent the most suitable breeding habitat for owls (Table 11.5-14, Figure 11.5-12). Of the 562 ha located within the LSA, 12.5 ha (2.2%) have been classified as upland forested woodlot or habitation. The LSA also contains 1.5 ha (0.3%) of moderately suitable habitat such as upland shrub and willows that could provide both cover and potential prey. The 502.8 ha (89.5%) of open agricultural land and tame pasture are not considered suitable breeding habitat, but these areas retain some importance as foraging habitat. The 44.9 ha (8.0%) of wetlands, streams and transportation corridors are not considered to have high value for great horned owls.

RSA Baseline Habitat Availability

Baseline habitat availability for owls was calculated for the great horned owl within the RSA. Habitat availability was calculated for the RSA in addition to the LSA, because owls have home ranges that extend beyond the boundaries of the LSA. Moreover, developments within the LSA

have the potential to affect individuals in the greater RSA area by altering overall habitat availability and forcing owls to nest outside of the smaller LSA.

Of the total 23,288 ha located within the RSA boundaries, 3,479 ha (14.9%) have been classified as high-quality habitat for great horned owls (Table 11.5-13, Figure 11.5-13). In the study area, high-quality habitat is defined as deciduous, coniferous or mixedwood forest, habitats which provide potential nesting trees and suitable habitat for prey. The RSA also provides 1,768 ha (7.6%) of moderate-quality habitat such as shrubby wetlands, black spruce bog and some urban areas, all of which provide some foraging opportunities. The majority of the RSA (15,592 ha, or 67%) is composed of open habitat for great horned owl. The 2,445 ha (10.5%) of transportation corridors and exposed soil were not considered potential habitat for great horned owls.

11.5.3.6 Songbirds

LSA Baseline Habitat Availability

Songbirds are found in a variety of habitat types. The 2007 surveys indicate the most utilized habitat types in the LSA by songbirds as a group were the deciduous woodlots and *Salix* spp. shrublands At baseline, 5.2 ha (0.9%) of these habitat types were located in the LSA (Table 11.5-13, Figure 11.5-12). Based on a combination of density and diversity, the 39.2 ha (7.0%) of upland shrub, tame pasture, habitation and Class IV wetland are classified as moderately important songbird habitat. The Class IV wetland supports waterbirds in addition to terrestrial songbirds, and is therefore considered an important habitat type. The 512.4 ha (91.2%) of ephemeral and temporary wetlands and streams, transportation corridors and agricultural land are classified as having low suitability to songbirds as a group.

RSA Baseline Habitat Availability

Of the total 23,288 ha located within the RSA, 2,532 ha (18.4%) are considered high-quality habitat for songbird species based on overall species diversity and density (Table 11.5-13, Figure 11.5-13). These high-quality habitat types include deciduous, coniferous and mixedwood forest, as well as shrubby wetlands, the latter of which support an abundance of species. The RSA also provides 3,836 ha (16.5%) of moderate-quality habitat, such as upland shrub, wetlands, bog and anthropogenic features. The majority of the RSA (12,718.7 ha, or 54.6%) is comprised of agricultural lands, urban features and lakes or streams, all of which are considered low-quality habitat for most songbird species. Transportation corridors and exposed soil are not considered potential habitat for any songbirds.

11.5.3.7 Amphibians

LSA Baseline Habitat Availability

Of the 562 ha located within the LSA, only 16.2 ha (2.9%) were classified as semi-permanent, temporary or seasonal marshes, the most suitable types of wetlands for amphibians within the LSA (Table 11.5-13, Figure 11.5-14). Ephemeral wetlands provide early-spring courtship and breeding habitat, but are dry by summer. The forested and shrubland areas provide cover during non-breeding and wintering periods. There are 38.8 ha (6.9%) of ephemeral wetlands, forest-shrub areas and tame pasture habitat that are considered to be moderately suitable for amphibians in the LSA. The 15.0 ha (2.7%) of cultivated and disturbed lands such as transportation corridors were considered to have no value to amphibians.

RSA Baseline Habitat Availability

Baseline habitat availability in the RSA was calculated for amphibian species in general. Habitat availability was considered in the larger RSA, as well as the LSA, because effects of development may extend beyond the LSA boundaries.

Of the 23,288 ha within the RSA boundaries, 2,532 ha (10.9%) were considered high quality for amphibians, including wood frogs, boreal chorus frogs and Canadian toads (Table 11.5-13, Figure 11.5-15). High-quality habitats include grassy and shrubby wetlands, open wetlands and lakes and streams. The RSA also provides 4,808 ha (20.6%) of moderate-quality habitat such as forest and shrub, which may be used by wood frogs outside of the breeding season. The majority (58%) of the habitat within the 13,499 ha RSA was rated low for amphibians, and includes habitat types such as mixed grassland, new burn, agricultural lands and anthropogenic features. Other habitats, like transportation corridors and exposed soil, are not considered potential amphibian habitat.